



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.ceb.2018.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2018.05.006)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Clarke, G., Harley, P., Hubber, E-L., Manea, T., Manuelli, L., Read, E., & Watt, F. M. (2018). Bench to bedside: Current advances in regenerative medicine. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 55, 59-66.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2018.05.006>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Manuscript Details

Manuscript number	COCEBI_2017_121
Title	Bench to bedside: current advances in regenerative medicine
Short title	Advances in regenerative medicine
Article type	Review article

Abstract

Regenerative medicine is a diverse and rapidly evolving field, employing core expertise from biologists, engineers, and clinicians. Recently the field has made significant progress towards regenerating or replacing tissues lost to age, disease or injury. Current strategies include transplantation of adult or pluripotent stem cells to replace tissue or support tissue healing. Promising approaches for the future of regenerative medicine include stimulating endogenous stem cells for in situ repair, transplantation of organoids to repair minor tissue injury, and the use of interspecies chimerism to produce functional metabolic organs for transplantation. In our review we focus on these emerging strategies, paying particular attention to their current and prospective translational impacts and challenges.

Keywords	adult stem cells; pluripotent stem cells; organoids; chimeras; senolytics
Corresponding Author	Fiona Watt
Corresponding Author's Institution	King's College London
Order of Authors	Gabriella Clarke, Peter Harley, Ella-Louise Hubber, Teodora Manea, Luigi Manuelli, Emily Read, Fiona Watt

Submission Files Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Review submitted.docx [Manuscript File]

Figure1.pdf [Figure]

Figure2.pdf [Figure]

Figure3.pdf [Figure]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

Bench to bedside: Current advances in regenerative medicine

Gabriella Clarke*, Peter Harley*, Ella-Louise Hubber*, Teodora Manea*, Luigi Manuelli*, Emily Read* and Fiona M. Watt**

Centre for Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine, King's College London, Floor 28.
Tower Wing, Guy's Hospital, Great Maze Pond, London SE1 9RT, UK

*These authors contributed equally

**Correspondence to: Fiona.Watt@kcl.ac.uk

Abstract

Regenerative medicine is a diverse and rapidly evolving field, employing core expertise from biologists, engineers, and clinicians. Recently the field has made significant progress towards regenerating or replacing tissues lost to age, disease or injury. Current strategies include transplantation of adult or pluripotent stem cells to replace tissue or support tissue healing. Promising approaches for the future of regenerative medicine include stimulating endogenous stem cells for *in situ* repair, transplantation of organoids to repair minor tissue injury, and the use of interspecies chimerism to produce functional metabolic organs for transplantation. In our review we focus on these emerging strategies, paying particular attention to their current and prospective translational impacts and challenges.

Introduction

Transplantation of adult stem cells is a well-established technology to treat disease, with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in wide spread clinical use for over 50 years. Nevertheless, this technology continues to find new clinical applications. For example, recent results from an international clinical trial showed that high-dose immunosuppressive therapy and subsequent autologous hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) transplantation is effective in inducing long-term sustained remissions of active relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis [NCT00273364] [1]. In this trial only 6% of patients treated with HSCs relapsed, compared to 60% in the untreated control group. Similarly, autologous cultured epidermis transplantation is a long-established approach. Notable progress has recently been made in the treatment of

skin blistering disorders by gene correction of epidermal cells, followed by their expansion in culture and subsequent transplantation [2]**. In a recent world first, this graft technique was used to completely replace the skin of a child with epidermolysis bullosa (EB).

Allogeneic MSC transplantation has also been widely used in patients to treat a range of conditions [3]. However, evidence of efficacy has been lacking in many cases. Recent studies of patients with graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) suggest that successful treatment is determined by the state of the patient's immune system rather than by the state of the infused MSC. Galleu *et al.* (2017) found that patients who had high cytotoxic T cell activity against MSCs responded to MSC infusion, whereas those with low activity did not [4]. After infusion, host phagocytes engulfed apoptotic MSCs and produced indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, which was necessary for the immunosuppressive effect of MSCs. This suggests that patients should be stratified for MSC treatment according to their cytotoxic T cell activity, or, alternatively that patients could be treated with apoptotic MSCs.

While adult stem cell therapies continue to find new and exciting clinical applications, adult stem cells can only give rise to a limited number of cell types and can be hard to scale. This has driven the development of many next-generation regenerative strategies. The new approaches include methods to promote endogenous tissue repair, through the use of pharmacological bio-scaffolds [5], in vivo cell reprogramming [6], and senolytics [7], methods to produce novel autologous cell therapies using induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) [8]*, and techniques aimed at engineering functional human tissues and organs through in vitro tissue engineering [9]** and interspecies chimerism [10]**. In this review we will focus on these emerging strategies in the field of regenerative medicine and discuss the technical and translational challenges they pose.

***In situ* regeneration**

An attractive strategy for regenerative medicine is to target endogenous repair mechanisms in resident cell populations. A promising approach for this is through the recruitment of tissue-resident stem populations following trauma. Implanted biological scaffolds (bio-scaffolds) provide structural support for functional tissue regrowth and facilitate localised delivery of pro-regenerative agents [11]. A recent advance has been the use of a clinically-approved degradable collagen sponge seeded with a GSK3 inhibitor to promote mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-based dentine regeneration in a rodent model. While this represents a new approach to tooth repair [5], significant concerns are the off-target effects of broad stimulation of pro-regenerative pathways and the possibility that continued

activation of somatic stem cells might lead to their depletion and loss of regenerative capacity.

An alternative *in situ* approach for regenerative medicine is reprogramming of terminally differentiated cells. One current strategy for *in vivo* reprogramming involves enforcing expression of key regulatory transcription factors (TFs) to convert cells to an alternative mature state [6]. This strategy can be employed to generate functional cells to replace those lost through disease. Insulin positive pancreatic β -like cells have been successfully generated in mice from pancreatic exocrine [12], hepatic [13] and gastrointestinal [14] cells following virus-mediated delivery or transgenic expression of a combination of pancreatic TFs. This partially alleviates hyperglycaemia in diabetic murine models. Alternatively pathological cells can be reprogrammed to ameliorate disease, as with the conversion of myofibroblasts to hepatic-like cells in induced murine models of liver damage, through adenovirus-mediated induction of a combination of hepatic TFs [15,16]. Nevertheless, the efficiency of reprogramming cells *in vivo* is generally low, which may contribute to the limited translation of this approach into the clinic.

Cellular senescence has recently been characterised to promote *in vivo* reprogramming. Senescent cells have permanently withdrawn from the cell cycle and adopt a senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) that is characterised by the secretion of a range of factors, including IL-6 [17]. Treatment of animals with an anti-IL-6 antibody decreases the expression of SASP components and significantly reduces reprogramming in mice using the Yamanaka factors Oct4, Sox2, Klf4, and c-Myc (OSKM) [18]*. Conversely, transient treatment with recombinant IL-6 increases the number of *in vivo* reprogrammed cells [18]*. In contrast, chronic exposure to SASP components is thought to hinder native regeneration in multiple tissues [19]. Pharmacological agents which induce apoptosis in senescent cells (senolytics) [7] have been shown to positively influence regenerative capacity in several models of age-related disease [20–23]. Naturally aged mice treated with senolytics have significantly improved hematopoietic stem cell serial repopulation capacity [20], reduction in age-associated myeloid skewing [20] and increased renal function and hair follicle density [22]. A proposed model to explain the dual role of senescence in plasticity and regeneration is the length of exposure to SASP components, whereby short-term exposure is beneficial, but prolonged exposure induces further senescence and a regenerative block [24].

Pluripotent stem cell therapies

Pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) have the capacity for unlimited self-renewal and the ability to differentiate into any cell type. This makes them an attractive alternative to lineage-restricted adult stem cells, and particularly amenable to cell replacement therapies involving difficult to obtain cell types. Delivery of PSCs or their differentiated progeny into damaged tissues with low regenerative capacity may aid tissue repair by replacing lost cells or providing trophic support [25].

Due to its accessibility, immunoprivileged nature and high clinical need, the eye is an attractive target for cell replacement therapies. The first evidence of long-term safety, graft survival and efficacy of transplanted pluripotent stem cells was demonstrated in 2016: a suspension of retinal pigmented epithelial (RPE) cells derived from embryonic stem cells (ESC-RPE) was injected into the eyes of nine patients with age-related macular degeneration (AMD), resulting in sustained visual improvement in approximately half of treated individuals up to 37 months [26]. Culture of RPE on biological scaffolds, and transplantation as a more physiologically-representative 'sheet', shows optimised differentiation, polarisation, engraftment, viability and efficacy when compared to cell suspensions [27]. In a world-first induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) trial, integration-free autologous iPSC-RPE sheets were grown on collagen and successfully engrafted into one patient with AMD (UMIN000011929). However, visual improvements have not been reported after one year [8]*. Recently, ESC-RPE sheets have been manufactured on decellularized amniotic membrane[28] and a synthetic vitronectin-coated polyester membrane [29]**. Successful transplantation of this 'synthetic' ESC-RPE patch in two patients has resulted in significant improvements in visual acuity 12 months after surgery with only local immunosuppression (NCT01691261) [29]**.

Recently, integration-free iPSC-derived neural stem/progenitor cells (iNSPCs) generated from patient urine samples were shown to successfully engraft and differentiate in a mouse model of spinal cord injury (SCI) [30]. Transplantation of iPSC-derived dopaminergic neurons (iDAs) was demonstrated to ameliorate locomotor symptoms of Parkinson's disease (PD) in rodents [31,32] and primates [33], and clinical trials are expected to begin soon [34]. Indeed, a clinical trial involving NSPCs derived from chemically-activated 'parthenogenetic' embryos to treat PD is currently underway (NCT02452723), following improved behavioural recovery after intracerebral transplant in Parkinsonian monkeys [35]*.

Epidurally transplanted iNSPCs have been used to enhance functional recovery after stroke in rats by paracrine activation of Notch1 signalling in endogenous progenitors [36]. A permissive microenvironment promoting engraftment, survival and maturation can also be achieved by exogenous addition of growth factors [37,38] or genetic engineering of

transplanted cells to overexpress neurotrophic factors such as SDF-1 α [39], Nurr1 and Foxa2 [40].

In conclusion, sophisticated methods for PSC derivation and transplantation have advanced basic research, but extensive preclinical analysis of all cell therapies with respect to cell origin (embryo, foetus, iPSC), tumorigenicity, potency, administration strategy (suspension, monolayer, encapsulation [41]) and mode of action must be performed to enable safe integration into the clinic [42]*. Clinical trials must begin to recruit more participants to enable robust statistical analysis of each cell therapy. Rapid delivery of thoroughly regulated personalised therapies within a critical treatment window may not be feasible for many acute diseases, unless banks of ESCs or iPSC are developed. Finally, these therapies are often not suitable for polygenic or systemic diseases affecting more complex structures.

3D-cultured organoids

Advances in 3D tissue engineering allow for the generation of ‘miniature organs’ (organoids) *in vitro* [43]. Organoids are self-renewing, self-organising 3D cell clusters that contain organ-specific cell types and mimic organ function and morphology. While organoids can be obtained from multicellular tissue samples, such as intestinal crypts [44], they can also be grown and expanded from pluripotent stem cells [43]. Although organoids have been successfully cultured to simulate organs such as kidney [45], liver [46], small intestine [43] and brain [47]*, until recently they have mostly found applications in developmental biology research and disease modelling [48].

Organoids are commonly cultured in Matrigel, an undefined tumour-derived extracellular matrix (ECM), and this hampers their potential use in the clinic due to risks of immunogen and pathogen transfer [49]*. To circumvent this issue, Cruz-Acuna *et al.* substituted Matrigel with a fully defined synthetic hydrogel based on a four-armed, maleimide-terminated poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG-4MAL) macromer as a matrix for organoid culture [9]**. Human intestinal organoids (HIOs) grown in this matrix exhibited morphology and proliferation similar to those of HIOs grown in Matrigel. Moreover, when implanted under the kidney capsule of immunocompromised NSG mice, HIOs generated in PEG-4MAL successfully developed into mature intestinal tissue, exhibiting markers for intestinal epithelial, enteroendocrine, goblet and tuft cells, all found in the normal intestine. To further prove the feasibility of using HIOs clinically, Cruz-Acuna *et al.* injected HIOs at the site of mechanically induced mucosal wounds in the mouse colon with PEG-4MAL hydrogel as an injection vehicle, resulting in organoid engraftment and improved wound repair compared to controls

[9]** (Figure 2). This study shows that not only do intestinal organoids have clinical potential in the field of tissue repair, but also that fully defined, synthetic hydrogels can be used both as a culture matrix and as an injection vehicle for organoids, overcoming the obstacle presented by Matrigel-based organoid culture.

While attempts are being made to improve organoid technology so that it can be employed in the regeneration of other tissues, such as liver [46] and kidney [45], the long culture time and the lack of organ-specific macro-architecture and vascularisation limit the clinical applications of organoids to the repair of small defects, or of defects in organs with a relatively simple structure, such as the intestine. Therefore, a more ambitious tissue engineering approach may be necessary for the treatment of large defects in organs with complex architectures.

Interspecies chimeric organs

An innovative approach to treat severe tissue defects while also addressing the organ shortage crisis is the generation of whole organs via interspecies chimeras. Host animals can potentially be engineered to grow humanised organs suitable for transplantation, in a process called interspecies chimerism. Recent studies in rodents [10]** have demonstrated how, while technically feasible, this strategy would be clinically challenging.

Through genetic manipulation, it is possible to create a developmental niche in a host animal that can be populated by PSCs from a donor animal, generating a chimeric organ consisting mostly of donor cells. Cells are commonly injected at the blastocyst stage in an approach known as blastocyst complementation [50]. A recent proof-of-concept study by Yamaguchi *et al.* [10]** has demonstrated successful generation of mouse pancreata in Pdx-1-deficient rats using this technique. Islets from these rat chimeras were transplanted into mice with streptozotocin-induced diabetes, normalising blood glucose levels in the absence of immunosuppression (Figure 3).

Rat-mouse chimeric nephrons have also been generated following removal of nephron progenitor cells (NPCs) from the post-implantation rat foetus with a time-specific cell elimination strategy, creating a niche for injected mouse NPCs [51]. This establishes the possibility of generating organs with more complex developmental controls than the pancreas but is still far removed from whole kidney organogenesis.

The success of rat-mouse chimeras [52,53] has provided a foundation for generating human organs in a host species. Pigs serve as a good candidate animal due to their similar organ

size, physiology and anatomy. However, fluorescently labelled human PSCs (hPSC) injected into pig blastocysts show inefficient contribution to chimera formation [54]. An intermediate hPSC shows improved contribution and differentiation into several cell types post-implantation but contribution from all hPSCs is still much lower than that observed in rat-mouse chimeras. This may be due to the larger degree of evolutionary distance between humans and pigs. Future research might consider the use of non-human primates which are evolutionarily closer to humans.

Engraftment and survival of donor cells in chimeras can be improved by matching the developmental timing of cells to the host. Despite the large evolutionary distance, Cohen *et al.* [55] were able to generate mouse-human neural crest chimeras by injecting human neural crest cells (NCCs) into post-gastrulation mouse embryos at the stage when NCCs delaminate from the neuroepithelium. Donor cells survived, migrated along normal migration routes and contributed to functional pigment cells. Inhibiting apoptosis can improve integration of cells in later stages of development [56]. This may help prevent donor cells from contributing to other parts of the host, addressing a serious ethical concern [57]. Despite exciting progress, we are still a long way from generating human organs for transplantation, but chimeric animals may soon enable the study of human organ generation *in vivo*.

Concluding remarks

The field of regenerative medicine is advancing at an unprecedented rate. Adult stem cell transplantation, whilst well established in the clinic for some diseases, is not a viable solution for many other more localised disorders that may require specialised cell replacement. Pluripotent stem cells that can give rise to theoretically any cell type offer a promising alternative transplantation approach. Streamlining the generation of clinical-grade cell lines and reducing the tumourigenic risk associated with stem cell therapy are priorities for progression to wide-spread clinical use. Novel approaches targeting cell populations *in situ* are an exciting area of research, which could circumvent immunogenic risk associated with exogenous tissue transplantation. Considerations regarding target specific effects and long-term depletion of resident stem cell populations would need to be addressed for the advancement of this approach. Finally, more ambitious but less clinically advanced approaches aim to generate human organs *in vitro* or through interspecies chimerism. The ability to culture organs would have a considerable impact, alleviating the need for donor tissue. Key challenges include generating *in vitro* organoids with sufficiently complex vascularised networks and 3D architectures, alongside niche optimisation to facilitate

effective human interspecies chimerism. Future developments in the field of regenerative medicine will not only translate current strategies to the clinic, but also drive novel and complementary strategies to treat a broad range of diseases.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Wellcome Trust PhD programme 'Cell therapies and regenerative medicine' (108874/Z/15/Z). We thank Dr Fay Minty for her unfailing support of the programme.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Current strategies in regenerative medicine. Somatic stem cells can be targeted *in situ*, through the application of bioscaffolds seeded with pro-regenerative agents, to activate endogenous repair mechanisms [5]. Alternatively, tissue resident cells can be reprogrammed from a pathophysiological state [15,16] or to an alternative functional state [14,58] to alleviate disease. Adult, embryonic or induced pluripotent stem cells can also be transplanted to enhance regeneration. This may include *ex vivo* expansion and gene editing to correct pathogenic mutations. Transplantation of organoids shows promise as a strategy to improve regeneration [9]**. Finally, the generation of human-animal chimeras could facilitate the culture of entire human organs. Bioscaffolds, biological scaffolds.

Figure 2. Organoid culture and transplant for intestinal tissue regeneration. Human pluripotent stem cells can be induced to generate intestinal organoids that can then be expanded in PEG-4MAL hydrogel. The organoids can be delivered to the injury site using a hydrogel-based injection vehicle, resulting in ameliorated wound repair [9]**.

Figure 3. Schematic representation of blastocyst complementation to generate a functional mouse pancreas in a rat. Figure adapted from Yamaguchi *et al.* 2017 [10]**.

The authors declare no conflict of interest. FMW is on secondment as Executive Chair of the UK Medical Research Council.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

* of special interest

** of outstanding interest

1. Nash RA, Hutton GJ, Racke MK, Popat U, Devine SM, Steinmiller KC, Griffith LM, Muraro PA, Openshaw H, Sayre PH, et al.: **High-dose immunosuppressive therapy and autologous HCT for relapsing-remitting MS**. *Neurology* 2017, **88**:842–852.
- **2. Hirsch T, Rothoeft T, Teig N, Bauer JW, Pellegrini G, De Rosa L, Scaglione D, Reichelt J, Klausegger A, Kneisz D, et al.: **Regeneration of the entire human epidermis using transgenic stem cells**. *Nature* 2017, **551**:327–332.

The authors demonstrated that autologous gene-corrected keratinocytes from a patient with EB could be cultured into large epidermal grafts *in vitro* and applied to the patient's body surface. The graft showed normal physiology during 21 months of follow up.
3. Zhang J, Huang X, Wang H, Liu X, Zhang T, Wang Y, Hu D: **The challenges and promises of allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells for use as a cell-based therapy**. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2015, **6**:234.
4. Galleu A, Riffo-Vasquez Y, Trento C, Lomas C, Dolcetti L, Cheung TS, von Bonin M, Barbieri L, Halai K, Ward S, et al.: **Apoptosis in mesenchymal stromal cells induces in vivo recipient-mediated immunomodulation**. *Sci Transl Med* 2017, **9**:eaam7828.
5. Neves VCM, Babb R, Chandrasekaran D, Sharpe PT: **Promotion of natural tooth repair by small molecule GSK3 antagonists**. *Sci Rep* 2017, **7**:39654.
6. Taguchi J, Yamada Y: **In vivo reprogramming for tissue regeneration and organismal rejuvenation**. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2017, **46**:132–140.
7. Zhu Y, Tchkonja T, Pirtskhalava T, Gower AC, Ding H, Giorgadze N, Palmer AK, Ikeno Y, Hubbard GB, Lenburg M, et al.: **The Achilles' heel of senescent cells: from transcriptome to senolytic drugs**. *Aging Cell* 2015, **14**:644–658.
- *8. Mandai M, Watanabe A, Kurimoto Y, Hiramami Y, Morinaga C, Daimon T, Fujihara M, Akimaru H, Sakai N, Shibata Y, et al.: **Autologous induced stem-cell-derived**

retinal cells for macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med* 2017, **376**:1038–1046.

This report presents safety and efficacy results for the first autologous iPSC trial one year after transplantation of an iPSC-derived RPE sheet into the retina of one patient with AMD. Visual function scores increased one year post-surgery.

- **9.** Cruz-Acuña R, Quirós M, Farkas AE, Dedhia PH, Huang S, Siuda D, García-Hernández V, Miller AJ, Spence JR, Nusrat A, et al.: **Synthetic hydrogels for human intestinal organoid generation and colonic wound repair.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2017, **19**:1326–1335.

This study successfully repaired colonic wounds in mice using human intestinal organoids cultured and delivered in a hydrogel matrix. By using PEG-4MAL hydrogels to replace Matrigel in organoid generation and transplantation, the study circumvents issues such as matrix immunogenicity and variable chemical composition.

- **10.** Yamaguchi T, Sato H, Kato-Itoh M, Goto T, Hara H, Sanbo M, Mizuno N, Kobayashi T, Yanagida A, Umino A, et al.: **Interspecies organogenesis generates autologous functional islets.** *Nature* 2017, **542**:191–196.

This study demonstrates the successful generation and transplantation of functional interspecies organs and provides an exciting look into the future of growing organs for transplantation.

- 11.** Ko IK, Lee SJ, Atala A, Yoo JJ: **In situ tissue regeneration through host stem cell recruitment.** *Exp Mol Med* 2013, **45**:e57.
- 12.** Zhou Q, Brown J, Kanarek A, Rajagopal J, Melton DA: **In vivo reprogramming of adult pancreatic exocrine cells to β -cells.** *Nature* 2008, **455**:627–632.
- 13.** Banga A, Akinci E, Greder L V., Dutton JR, Slack JMW: **In vivo reprogramming of Sox9+ cells in the liver to insulin-secreting ducts.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2012, **109**:15336–15341.
- 14.** Ariyachet C, Tovaglieri A, Xiang G, Lu J, Shah MS, Richmond CA, Verbeke C, Melton DA, Stanger BZ, Mooney D, et al.: **Reprogrammed stomach tissue as a renewable source of functional β cells for blood glucose regulation.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2016, **18**:410–421.
- 15.** Rezvani M, Español-Suñer R, Malato Y, Dumont L, Grimm AA, Kienle E, Bindman JG, Wiedtke E, Hsu BY, Naqvi SJ, et al.: **In vivo hepatic reprogramming of myofibroblasts with AAV vectors as a therapeutic strategy for liver fibrosis.** *Cell*

Stem Cell 2016, **18**:809–16.

16. Song G, Pacher M, Balakrishnan A, Yuan Q, Tsay H-C, Yang D, Reetz J, Brandes S, Dai Z, Pützer BM, et al.: **Direct reprogramming of hepatic myofibroblasts into hepatocytes in vivo attenuates liver fibrosis.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2016, **18**:797–808.
17. He S, Sharpless NE: **Senescence in Health and Disease.** *Cell* 2017, **169**:1000–1011.
- *18. Mosteiro L, Pantoja C, Alcazar N, Marión RM, Chondronasiou D, Rovira M, Fernandez-Marcos PJ, Muñoz-Martin M, Blanco-Aparicio C, Pastor J, et al.: **Tissue damage and senescence provide critical signals for cellular reprogramming in vivo.** *Science* 2016, **354**:aaf4445.

Cellular senescence creates a tissue micro-environment which favours reprogramming in vivo, mediated by secreted components such as IL-6.

19. de Keizer PLJ: **The fountain of youth by targeting senescent cells?** *Trends Mol Med* 2017, **23**:6–17.
20. Chang J, Wang Y, Shao L, Laberge R-M, Demaria M, Campisi J, Janakiraman K, Sharpless NE, Ding S, Feng W, et al.: **Clearance of senescent cells by ABT263 rejuvenates aged hematopoietic stem cells in mice.** *Nat Med* 2015, **22**:78–83.
21. Jeon OH, Kim C, Laberge R-M, Demaria M, Rathod S, Vasserot AP, Chung JW, Kim DH, Poon Y, David N, et al.: **Local clearance of senescent cells attenuates the development of post-traumatic osteoarthritis and creates a pro-regenerative environment.** *Nat Med* 2017, **23**:775–781.
22. Baar MP, Brandt RMC, Putavet DA, Klein JDD, Derks KWJ, Bourgeois BRM, Stryeck S, Rijksen Y, van Willigenburg H, Feijtel DA, et al.: **Targeted apoptosis of senescent cells restores tissue homeostasis in response to chemotoxicity and aging.** *Cell* 2017, **169**:132–147.e16.
23. Baker DJ, Childs BG, Durik M, Wijers ME, Sieben CJ, Zhong J, A. Saltness R, Jeganathan KB, Verzoza GC, Pezeshki A, et al.: **Naturally occurring p16Ink4a-positive cells shorten healthy lifespan.** *Nature* 2016, **530**:184–189.
24. Ritschka B, Storer M, Mas A, Heinzmann F, Ortells MC, Morton JP, Sansom OJ, Zender L, Keyes WM: **The senescence-associated secretory phenotype induces cellular plasticity and tissue regeneration.** *Genes Dev* 2017, **31**:172–183.
25. Thompson LH, Björklund A: **Reconstruction of brain circuitry by neural**

- transplants generated from pluripotent stem cells.** *Neurobiol Dis* 2015, **79**:28–40.
26. Schwartz SD, Tan G, Hosseini H, Nagiel A: **Subretinal transplantation of embryonic stem cell–derived retinal pigment epithelium for the treatment of macular degeneration: an assessment at 4 years.** *Investig Ophthalmology Vis Sci* 2016, **57**:ORSFc1.
 27. Kamao H, Mandai M, Okamoto S, Sakai N, Suga A, Sugita S, Kiryu J, Takahashi M: **Characterization of human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived retinal pigment epithelium cell sheets aiming for clinical application.** *Stem Cell Reports* 2014, **2**:205–218.
 28. M'Barek K Ben, Habeler W, Plancheron A, Jarraya M, Regent F, Terray A, Yang Y, Chatrousse L, Domingues S, Masson Y, et al.: **Human ESC–derived retinal epithelial cell sheets potentiate rescue of photoreceptor cell loss in rats with retinal degeneration.** *Sci Transl Med* 2017, **9**.
 - **29. da Cruz L, Fynes K, Georgiadis O, Kerby J, Luo YH, Ahmado A, Vernon A, Daniels JT, Nommiste B, Hasan SM, et al.: **Phase 1 clinical study of an embryonic stem cell–derived retinal pigment epithelium patch in age-related macular degeneration.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2018, doi:10.1038/nbt.4114.
- The authors report successful delivery and early efficacy of a transplanted scaffold RPE patch in two patients with severe vision loss, supporting the safety and feasibility of ESC clinical trials for retinal regeneration, and demonstrating good integration of polarised pre-differentiated cells into existing neural circuitry.
30. Liu Y, Zheng Y, Li S, Xue H, Schmitt K, Hergenroeder GW, Wu J, Zhang Y, Kim DH, Cao Q: **Human neural progenitors derived from integration-free iPSCs for SCI therapy.** *Stem Cell Res* 2017, **19**:55–64.
 31. Chen Y, Xiong M, Dong Y, Haberman A, Cao J, Liu H, Zhou W, Zhang SC: **Chemical control of grafted human PSC derived neurons in a mouse model of parkinson's disease.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2016, **18**:817–826.
 32. Han F, Wang W, Chen B, Chen C, Li S, Lu X, Duan J, Zhang Y, Zhang YA, Guo W, et al.: **Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neurons improve motor asymmetry in a 6-hydroxydopamine-induced rat model of Parkinson's disease.** *Cytotherapy* 2015, **17**:665–679.
 33. Kikuchi T, Morizane A, Doi D, Magotani H, Onoe H, Hayashi T, Mizuma H, Takara S, Takahashi R, Inoue H, et al.: **Human iPS cell-derived dopaminergic neurons**

function in a primate Parkinson's disease model. *Nature* 2017, **548**:592–596.

34. Barker RA, Parmar M, Studer L, Takahashi J: **Human trials of stem cell-derived dopamine neurons for parkinson's disease: dawn of a new era.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2017, **21**:569–573.

- *35. Gonzalez R, Garitaonandia I, Poustovoitov M, Abramihina T, McEntire C, Culp B, Attwood J, Noskov A, Christiansen-Weber T, Khater M, et al.: **Neural stem cells derived from human parthenogenetic stem cells engraft and promote recovery in a nonhuman primate model of parkinson's disease.** *Cell Transplant* 2016, **25**:1945–1966.

The authors report that clinical grade human parthenogenic neural stem cells differentiate into dopaminergic neurons in vivo and promote some behavioural recovery in Parkinsonian monkeys 12 months after transplant. These results led to the approval of the world's first pluripotent stem cell-based phase I/IIa study for the treatment of PD.

36. Lee I-H, Huang S-S, Chuang C-Y, Liao K-H, Chang L-H, Chuang C-C, Su Y-S, Lin H-J, Hsieh J-Y, Su S-H, et al.: **Delayed epidural transplantation of human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neural progenitors enhances functional recovery after stroke.** *Sci Rep* 2017, **7**:1943.
37. Wilems TS, Pardieck J, Iyer N, Sakiyama-Elbert SE: **Combination therapy of stem cell derived neural progenitors and drug delivery of anti-inhibitory molecules for spinal cord injury.** *Acta Biomater* 2015, **28**:23–32.
38. Suzuki H, Ahuja CS, Salewski RP, Li L, Satkunendrarajah K, Nagoshi N, Shibata S, Fehlings MG: **Neural stem cell mediated recovery is enhanced by Chondroitinase ABC pretreatment in chronic cervical spinal cord injury.** *PLoS One* 2017, **12**.
39. Chau M, Deveau TC, Song M, Wei ZZ, Gu X: **Transplantation of iPS cell-derived neural progenitors overexpressing SDF-1 α increases regeneration and functional recovery after ischemic stroke.** 2017, **8**:97537–97553.
40. Song JJ, Oh SM, Kwon OC, Wulansari N, Lee HS, Chang MY, Lee E, Sun W, Lee SE, Chang S, et al.: **Cografting astrocytes improves cell therapeutic outcomes in a Parkinson's disease model.** *J Clin Invest* 2018, **128**:463–482.
41. Schulz T: **Manufacturing of pancreatic endoderm cells for clinical trials in type 1 diabetes.** 2015, doi:10.5966/sctm.2012-0151.

- *42. Anderson AJ, Piltti KM, Hooshmand MJ, Nishi RA, Cummings BJ: **Preclinical efficacy failure of human CNS-derived stem cells for use in the pathway study of cervical spinal cord injury.** *Stem Cell Reports* 2017, **8**:249–263.

Using a foetal neural stem cell line, the authors show that previously reported successful engraftment and functional differentiation of these fNSPCs in a mouse model of thoracic SCI cannot be replicated in a model of cervical SCI, leading to termination of the corresponding phase II clinical trial. This study highlights the importance of preclinical testing for differences in cell line viability, potency and efficacy before moving into the clinic.

43. Sato T, Vries RG, Snippert HJ, van de Wetering M, Barker N, Stange DE, van Es JH, Abo A, Kujala P, Peters PJ, et al.: **Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt–villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal niche.** *Nature* 2009, **459**:262–265.
44. Grabinger T, Luks L, Kostadinova F, Zimmerlin C, Medema JP, Leist M, Brunner T: **Ex vivo culture of intestinal crypt organoids as a model system for assessing cell death induction in intestinal epithelial cells and enteropathy.** *Cell Death Dis* 2014, **5**:e1228.
45. Takasato M, Er PX, Chiu HS, Maier B, Baillie GJ, Ferguson C, Parton RG, Wolvetang EJ, Roost MS, Chuva de Sousa Lopes SM, et al.: **Kidney organoids from human iPS cells contain multiple lineages and model human nephrogenesis.** *Nature* 2015, **526**:564–568.
46. Takebe T, Zhang R-R, Koike H, Kimura M, Yoshizawa E, Enomura M, Koike N, Sekine K, Taniguchi H: **Generation of a vascularized and functional human liver from an iPSC-derived organ bud transplant.** *Nat Protoc* 2014, **9**:396–409.
- *47. Birey F, Andersen J, Makinson CD, Islam S, Wei W, Huber N, Fan HC, Metzler KRC, Panagiotakos G, Thom N, et al.: **Assembly of functionally integrated human forebrain spheroids.** *Nature* 2017, **545**:54–59.

This study used human pluripotent stem cells to generate 3D spheroids resembling either the dorsal or ventral forebrain that recapitulate the saltatory migration of interneurons observed in the foetal forebrain. The spheroids were then used as a model to investigate the pathology of Timothy syndrome.

48. Lancaster MA, Knoblich JA: **Organogenesis in a dish: Modeling development and disease using organoid technologies.** *Science (80-)* 2014, **345**:1247125–1247125.
- *49. Gjorevski N, Sachs N, Manfrin A, Giger S, Bragina ME, Ordóñez-Morán P, Clevers H,

Lutolf MP: **Designer matrices for intestinal stem cell and organoid culture.** *Nature* 2016, **539**:560–564.

This study successfully developed a fully defined 3D culture system for the expansion of mouse and human intestinal stem cells (ISCs). The supporting matrices were optimised for ISC expansion, differentiation and intestinal organoid formation, generating a well-defined alternative to animal-derived matrices for the culture of mouse and human stem-cell-derived organoids.

50. Chen J, Lansford R, Stewart V, Young F, Alt FW: **RAG-2-deficient blastocyst complementation: an assay of gene function in lymphocyte development.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1993, **90**:4528–32.
51. Yamanaka S, Tajiri S, Fujimoto T, Matsumoto K, Fukunaga S, Kim BS, Okano HJ, Yokoo T: **Generation of interspecies limited chimeric nephrons using a conditional nephron progenitor cell replacement system.** *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:1719.
52. Isotani A, Hatayama H, Kaseda K, Ikawa M, Okabe M: **Formation of a thymus from rat ES cells in xenogeneic nude mouse↔rat ES chimeras.** *Genes to Cells* 2011, **16**:397–405.
53. Kobayashi T, Yamaguchi T, Hamanaka S, Kato-Itoh M, Yamazaki Y, Ibata M, Sato H, Lee Y-S, Usui J, Knisely AS, et al.: **Generation of rat pancreas in mouse by interspecific blastocyst injection of pluripotent stem cells.** *Cell* 2010, **142**:787–799.
54. Wu J, Platero-Luengo A, Sakurai M, Sugawara A, Gil MA, Yamauchi T, Suzuki K, Bogliotti YS, Cuello C, Morales Valencia M, et al.: **Interspecies chimerism with mammalian pluripotent stem cells.** *Cell* 2017, **168**:473–486.e15.
55. Cohen MA, Wert KJ, Goldmann J, Markoulaki S, Buganim Y, Fu D, Jaenisch R: **Human neural crest cells contribute to coat pigmentation in interspecies chimeras after in utero injection into mouse embryos.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2016, **113**:1570–1575.
56. Masaki H, Kato-Itoh M, Takahashi Y, Umino A, Sato H, Ito K, Yanagida A, Nishimura T, Yamaguchi T, Hirabayashi M, et al.: **Inhibition of apoptosis overcomes stage-related compatibility barriers to chimera formation in mouse embryos.** *Cell Stem Cell* 2016, **19**:587–592.
57. Bourret R, Martinez E, Vialla F, Giquel C, Thonnat-Marin A, De Vos J: **Human-animal**

chimeras: ethical issues about farming chimeric animals bearing human organs. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2016, **7**:87.

58. Cerdá-Esteban N, Naumann H, Ruzittu S, Mah N, Pongrac IM, Cozzitorto C, Hommel A, Andrade-Navarro MA, Bonifacio E, Spagnoli FM: **Stepwise reprogramming of liver cells to a pancreas progenitor state by the transcriptional regulator Tgif2.** *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:14127.





